arXiv:0908.2844v2 [math.PR] 8 Sep 2009

# THE RANDOM CONDUCTANCE MODEL WITH CAUCHY TAILS

MARTIN T. BARLOW AND XINGHUA ZHENG

Abstract. We consider a random walk in an i.i.d. Cauchy-tailed conductances environment. We obtain a quenched functional CLT for the suitably rescaled random walk, and, as a key step in the arguments, we improve the local limit theorem for $p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(0,y)$ in [BD09, Theorem 5.14] to a result which gives uniform convergence for $p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(x,y)$ for all $x, y$ in a ball.

In this paper we will establish the convergence to Brownian motion of a random walk in a symmetric random environment, in a critical case that has not been covered by the papers [BC09, BD09]. We begin by recalling the 'random conductance model' (RCM). We consider the Euclidean lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $d \geq 2$. Let $E_d$ be the set of non-oriented nearest neighbour bonds, and, writing $e = \{x,y\} \in E_d$, let $(\mu_e, e \in E_d)$ be non-negative i.i.d. r.v. on $[1,\infty)$, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. We write $\mu_{xy} = \mu_{\{x,y\}} = \mu_{yx}$, let $\mu_{xy} = 0$ if $x \not\sim y$, and set $\mu_x = \sum_y \mu_{xy}$.

We consider two continuous time random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ which jump from $x$ to $y \sim x$ with probability $\mu_{xy}/\mu_x$. These are called in [BD09] the *constant speed random walk* (CSRW) and *variable speed random walk* (VSRW), and have generators

$$\mathcal{L}_C(\omega)f(x) = \mu_x(\omega)^{-1} \sum_y \mu_{xy}(\omega)(f(y) - f(x)), \tag{0.1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V(\omega)f(x) = \sum_y \mu_{xy}(\omega)(f(y) - f(x)). \tag{0.2}$$

We write $X$ for the CSRW, and $Y$ for the VSRW. Thus $X$ jumps out of a state $x$ at rate 1, while $Y$ jumps out at rate $\mu_x$. We will abuse notation slightly by writing $P^x_\omega$ for the laws of both $X$ and $Y$ started at $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ in the random environment $(\mu_e(\omega))$. Since the generators of these processes differ by a multiple, $X$ and $Y$ are time changes of each

---

*Date*: September 8, 2009.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60K37, 60F17, 82C41.

*Key words and phrases.* Random conductance model, heat kernel, invariance principle.

other. More explicitly, as in [BC09] define the *clock process*

$$S_t = \int_0^t \mu_{Y_s}\, ds, \tag{0.3}$$

and let $A_t$ be its inverse. Then the CSRW can be defined by

$$X_t = Y_{A_t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{0.4}$$

In the case when $\mu_e \in [0,1]$, and $\mathbb{P}(\mu_e > 0) > p_c(d)$, the critical probability for bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, the papers [BP07, Mat08] prove that both $X$ and $Y$ satisfy a quenched functional central limit theorem (QFCLT), and that the limiting process is non-degenerate. The paper [BD09] studies the case when $\mu_e \in [1,\infty)$, and proves that for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. $\omega$ the rescaled VSRW, defined by

$$Y_t^{(n)} = n^{-1} Y_{n^2 t},\ t \geq 0, \tag{0.5}$$

converges to $(\sigma_V W_t, t \geq 0)$, where $W$ is a standard Brownian motion, and $\sigma_V > 0$. It is also proved there that $S_t/t \to \mathbb{E}\mu_0 \in [1,\infty]$. It follows from (0.4) that the CSRW with the standard rescaling

$$X_t^{(n,1)} = n^{-1} X_{n^2 t},\ t \geq 0,$$

converges to $\sigma_C W$, where

$$\sigma_C = \begin{cases} \sigma_V / \sqrt{2d\, \mathbb{E}\mu_e}, & \text{if } \mathbb{E}\mu_e < \infty, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mathbb{E}\mu_e = \infty. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathbb{E}\mu_e = \infty$ it is natural to ask if a different rescaling of $X$ will give a non-trivial limit. In the case when $d \geq 3$, $\mu_e \in [1,\infty)$ and there exists $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_e > u) \sim \frac{c}{u^\alpha} \quad \text{as } u \to \infty, \tag{0.6}$$

then [BC09] proves that the process

$$X_t^{(n,\alpha)} = n^{-1} X_{n^{2/\alpha} t},\ t \geq 0,$$

converges to the 'fractional kinetic motion' with index $\alpha$. (For details of this process, and its connection with aging see [BCM06, BC07, BC08].) These papers leave open the case when $\alpha = 1$. In this paper we assume that $(\mu_e)$ satisfies (0.6) with $\alpha = 1$; for simplicity we take $c = 1/(2d)$, so that $\mu_e$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_e \geq 1) = 1, \tag{0.7}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_e \geq u) \sim \frac{1}{2du} \quad \text{as } u \to \infty. \tag{0.8}$$

We define the process

$$X_t^{(n)} = n^{-1} X_{n^2(\log n)\, t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{0.9}$$

Our main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.** *Let $d \geq 3$, and assume that $\mu_e$ satisfies* (0.7) *and* (0.8)*. Then for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. $\omega$, $(X^{(n)}, P_\omega^0)$ converges in $D([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\sigma_1 W$, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma_V/\sqrt{2} > 0$, and $W$ is a standard $d$-dimensional Brownian-motion.*

As in [BC09] we prove this theorem by using (0.4) and proving convergence of a rescaled clock process. Let

$$S_t^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \int_0^{n^2 t} \mu_{Y_s} ds; \tag{0.10}$$

then it is easy to check that if $A^{(n)}$ is the inverse of $S^{(n)}$ then

$$X_t^{(n)} = Y^{(n)}_{A_t^{(n)}}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{0.11}$$

It follows that to prove Theorem 1 it is enough to prove

**Theorem 2.** *Let $d \geq 3$, and assume that $\mu_e$ satisfies* (0.7) *and* (0.8)*. For $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. $\omega$, under the law $P_\omega^0$,*

$$(S_t^{(n)}, t \geq 0) \Rightarrow (2t, t \geq 0) \text{ on } C([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}). \tag{0.12}$$

*Remark* 1. For $\lambda \in [1,\infty)$ let $S_t^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 \log \lambda} \int_0^{\lambda^2 t} \mu_{Y_s} ds$. Then if $n \leq \lambda \leq (n+1)$,

$$\frac{n^2 \log n}{(n+1)^2 \log(n+1)} \cdot S_t^{(n)} \leq S_t^{(\lambda)} \leq \frac{(n+1)^2 \log(n+1)}{n^2 \log n} \cdot S_t^{(n+1)}.$$

It follows that the convergence (0.12) holds for $(S_t^{(\lambda)},\, t \geq 0)_{\lambda \geq 1}$, and hence Theorem 1 extends to $(X_t^{(\lambda)})_{\lambda \geq 1} := (\lambda^{-1} X_{\lambda^2(\log \lambda)\, t})_{\lambda \geq 1}$.

As in [BC09], the result is proved by estimating the growth of the clock process $S_t$, $0 \leq t \leq n^2 T$. Since the limit of the processes $S^{(n)}$ is deterministic, overall this case is much easier than when $\alpha \in (0,1)$: after suitable truncation it is enough to use a mean-variance calculation. There is however one respect in which this case is more delicate than when $\alpha < 1$. When $\alpha < 1$ it turns out that the main contribution to $S_{n^2 T}$ is from visits by $Y$ to $x$ such that $\varepsilon n^{2/\alpha} \leq \mu_x \leq \varepsilon^{-1} n^{2/\alpha}$ – see Sections 5 and 7 of [BC09]. When $\alpha = 1$ one finds that each set of edges of the form $E_i = \{e : 2^{i-1} n \leq \mu_e < 2^i n\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \log n$, has a roughly comparable contribution to $S_{n^2 T}$, so a much greater range of values of $\mu_e$ need to be considered.

To motivate the proof, consider the classical case of a sum of i.i.d. r.v. $\xi_i$, with $\mathbb{P}(\xi_i > t) \sim t^{-1}$. We have that if

$$U_t^{(n)} = (n \log n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \xi_i, \tag{0.13}$$

then $\sup_{0\le t\le T} |U_t^{(n)} - t| \to 0$ in probability. Let $a_i = i(\log i)^\beta$ where $\beta \in (1,2)$, and $\xi_i' = \xi_i \mathbf{1}_{(\xi_i > a_i)}$. Then $\sum P(\xi_i \neq \xi_i')$ converges, so it is enough to consider the convergence of

$$V_t^{(n)} = (n \log n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \xi_i'. \tag{0.14}$$

A straightforward argument calculating the mean and variance of

$$M_t^{(n)} = (n \log n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (\xi_i' - E\xi_i') \tag{0.15}$$

then gives convergence of $U^{(n)}$. (Note that one does not have a.s. convergence, since $P(\max_{2^{n-1}\le i\le 2^n} \xi_i > 2^n \log 2^n) \sim c/n$.)

The equivalent arguments in our case rely on good control of the process $Y$. Define the heat kernel and Green's functions for $Y$ by

$$p_t^\omega(x,y) = P_\omega^x(Y_t = y), \qquad g^\omega(x,y) = \int_0^\infty p_t^\omega(x,y)\, dt. \tag{0.16}$$

We extend these functions from $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ by linear interpolation on each cube in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with vertices in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $W$ be a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let $W_t^* = \sigma_V W_t$, so that $W^*$ is the weak limit of the processes $Y^{(n)}$. Let

$$k_t(x) = (2\pi\sigma_V^2)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x|^2/2\sigma_V^2) \tag{0.17}$$

be the density of the $W^*$.

A key element of the arguments is the following strengthening of the local limit theorem for $p^\omega_{n^2 t}(0,y)$ in [BD09, Theorem 5.14] to a result which gives uniform convergence for $p^\omega_{n^2 t}(x,y)$ for all $x, y$ in a ball.

**Theorem 3.** *Let $d \ge 2$, and assume $\mu_e$ satisfies (0.7). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \delta < T < \infty$ and $K > 0$, we have the following $\mathbb{P}$-almost sure* uniform *convergence:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} &< \liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\delta\le t\le T} \inf_{|x|,|y|\le K} \frac{n^d p^\omega_{n^2 t}(nx, ny)}{k_t(x,y)} \\ &\le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\delta\le t\le T} \sup_{|x|,|y|\le K} \frac{n^d p^\omega_{n^2 t}(nx, ny)}{k_t(x,y)} < 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{0.18}$$

This result is proved in Section 1.1.

**Notation.** We write

$$B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x-y| \le r\}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mathbb{R}}(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x-y| \le r\}.$$

If $e = \{x_e, y_e\} \in E_d$, we write $e \in B(x,r)$ if $\{x_e, y_e\} \subset B(x,r)$. We will follow the custom of writing $f \sim g$ to mean that the ratio $f/g$ converges to 1, and $f \asymp g$ to mean that the ratio $f/g$ remains bounded away from 0 and $\infty$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \wedge b := \min(a,b)$ and $a \vee b := \max(a,b)$. Throughout the paper, $c, C, C_1, C'$ etc. denote generic constants whose values may change from line to line.

*Remark* 2. One can also consider the more general case when the tail of $\mu_e$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_e \ge u) \sim c\frac{(\log u)^\rho}{u} \quad \text{as } u \to \infty,$$

where $\rho \ge -1$ (so that $\mathbb{E}\mu_e = \infty$). Define for $t \ge 0$

$$X_t^{(n)} = \begin{cases} n^{-1} X_{n^2 (\log n)^{1+\rho}\, t} & \text{when } \rho > -1, \\ n^{-1} X_{n^2 (\log\log n)\, t} & \text{when } \rho = -1. \end{cases}$$

Then using the same strategy as in this article one can show that for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. $\omega$, $(X^{(n)}, P_\omega^0)$ converges to a (multiple of a) Brownian-motion.

## 1. Preliminaries

### 1.1. **Heat kernel: Proof of Theorem 3.**

We collect some known estimates for $p_t^\omega(x,y)$ and $g^\omega(x,y)$ which will be used in our arguments.

**Lemma 4.** *Let $\eta \in (0,1)$. There exist random variables $U_x$ ($x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$) and constants $c_i$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(U_x \ge n) \le c_1 \exp(-c_2 n^\eta).$$

*(a)* [BD09, Theorem 1.2(a)] *There exists $c_3 > 0$ such that for all $x, y$ and $t$,*

$$p_t^\omega(x,y) \le c_3 t^{-d/2}.$$

*(b)* [BD09, Theorem 1.2(b)] *If $|x-y| \vee \sqrt{t} \ge U_x$, then*

(1.1)
$$p_t^\omega(x,y) \le \begin{cases} c_4 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_5 |x-y|^2/t) & \text{when } t \ge |x-y|, \\ c_4 \exp\big(-c_5|x-y|(1 \vee \log(|x-y|/t))\big) & \text{when } t \le |x-y|. \end{cases}$$

*(c)* [BD09, Theorem 1.2(c)] *If $t \ge U_x^2 \vee |x-y|^{1+\eta}$, then*

$$p_t^\omega(x,y) \ge c_6 t^{-d/2} \exp(-c_7|x-y|^2/t).$$

*(d) Let* $\tau(x,R) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |Y_t - x| > R\}$*. If* $R \geq U_x$ *then*

$$P_\omega^x(\tau(x,R) \leq t) \leq c_8 \exp(-c_9 R^2/t).$$

*(e)* [BC09, Lemma 3.4] *When* $d \geq 3$*,*

$$c_{10} U_x^{2-d} \leq g^\omega(x,x) \leq c_{11}. \tag{1.2}$$

*(f)* [BC09, Proposition 3.2(b)] *When* $d \geq 3$*, if* $|x| \geq U_0$ *then*

$$g^\omega(0,x) \leq \frac{c_{12}}{|x|^{d-2}}. \tag{1.3}$$

*(g)* [BC09, Lemma 3.3] *There exists* $c_{13} > 0$ *such that for each* $K > 0$*, if*

$$b_n = c_{13}(\log n)^{1/\eta}, \tag{1.4}$$

*then with* $\mathbb{P}$*-probability no less than* $1 - c_{14}K^d n^{-2}$ *the following holds:*

$$\max_{|x| \leq Kn} U_x \leq b_n. \tag{1.5}$$

*In particular,* (1.5) *holds for all* $n$ *large enough* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s..*

*(h)* [BD09, Theorem 5.14] *For any* $\delta > 0$*,* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{t\geq\delta} \left| n^d p^\omega_{n^2t}(0,x) - k_t(x/n) \right| = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

*(i) There exists* $\theta > 0$ *such that for* $x, y, y' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$*,*

$$n^d \left| p^\omega_{n^2t}(x,y) - p^\omega_{n^2t}(x,y') \right| \leq c_{15} t^{-(d+\theta)/2} \cdot \left( \frac{|y-y'| \vee U_y}{n} \right)^\theta. \tag{1.7}$$

*Proof.* (d) The tail bound on $\tau(x,R)$ in (d) follows from Proposition 2.18 and Theorem 4.3 of [BD09].
(i) This follows from [BD09, Theorem 3.7] and [BH09, Proposition 3.2]. □

We begin by improving the local limit theorem in (1.6).

**Lemma 5.** *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$*,* $K > 0$ *and* $0 < \delta < T < \infty$*, there exists* $\varepsilon_b > 0$ *such that* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s., for all but finitely many* $n$*,*

(1.8)

$$\sup_{\delta\leq t\leq T} \sup \left\{ \frac{p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_1, ny_1)}{p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_2, ny_2)} : \; |x_i|, |y_i| \leq K, |x_1 - x_2| \leq \varepsilon_b, |y_1 - y_2| \leq \varepsilon_b \right\} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4(g), we can assume that the event $\{\max_{|x|\le Kn} U_x \le b_n\}$ holds. So, by Lemma 4(i) we get that for all $t \ge \delta$,

$$n^d \left|p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_1, ny_1) - p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_1, ny_2)\right| \le C\delta^{-(d+\theta)/2} \cdot |y_1 - y_2|^\theta \vee \left|\frac{b_n}{n}\right|^\theta .$$

On the other hand, by (c) in the same lemma, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for all $n$ large such that $n^2\delta \ge b_n^2 \vee n^{1+\eta}(2K)^{1+\eta}$, all $\delta \le t \le T$ and $|x_1|, |y_1| \le K$,

$$n^d p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_1, ny_1) \ge \varepsilon_1.$$

Hence

$$\left|1 - \frac{p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_1, ny_2)}{p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx_1, ny_1)}\right| \le \frac{C\delta^{-(d+\theta)/2}}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot |y_1 - y_2|^\theta \vee \left|\frac{b_n}{n}\right|^\theta .$$

The conclusion follows by taking $\varepsilon_b$ small enough so that

$$\frac{C\delta^{-(d+\theta)/2}}{\varepsilon_1} \cdot \varepsilon_b^\theta < \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} - 1,$$

and then interchanging the roles of $x$ and $y$ in the argument above. $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, to be chosen later. We first show that for any fixed $|x|, |y| \le K$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon_0)^4} &\le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\delta\le t\le T} \frac{n^d p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx, ny)}{k_t(x,y)} \\ &\le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\delta\le t\le T} \frac{n^d p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx, ny)}{k_t(x,y)} \le (1+\varepsilon_0)^4. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

The proof is similar to that in Lemma 4.2 in [BC09]. First fix an $\varepsilon_b$ so that the LHS in (1.8) in Lemma 5 is bounded by $1+\varepsilon_0$. For any path $\gamma \in D([0,\infty);\mathbb{R}^d)$, define the hitting time $\sigma(\gamma) = \inf\{t : \gamma_t \in B(x, \varepsilon_b)\}$. Then by the QFCLT for the VSRW $Y^{(n)}$ we get that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_n E^\omega_0 \mathbf{1}\{Y^{(n)}_{\sigma(Y^{(n)})+t} \in B(y, \varepsilon_b)\} \\ =&E_0 \left(\mathbf{1}\{\sigma(W^*) < \infty\} \int_{z\in B(y,\varepsilon_b)} k_t(W^*_{\sigma(W^*)}, z)\, dz\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $W^*$ is the limit of the VSRW $Y^{(n)}$. So, writing $\sigma = \sigma(Y^{(n)})$, for all large $n$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^0_\omega(Y^{(n)}_{\sigma+t} \in B(y,\varepsilon_b) | Y^{(n)}_\sigma, \sigma < \infty) &= \sum_{z\in B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)} p^\omega_{n^2t}(nY^{(n)}_\sigma, z) \\ &\ge (1+\varepsilon_0)^{-1} |B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)| \cdot p^\omega_{n^2t}(nY^{(n)}_\sigma, ny) \\ &\ge (1+\varepsilon_0)^{-2} |B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)| \cdot p^\omega_{n^2t}(nx, ny). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|B(ny, n\varepsilon_b)| \sim n^d \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(B_{\mathbb{R}}(y, \varepsilon_b))$; using this and the analogous result for $k_t(x, y)$ we get that

$$\limsup_n n^d p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(nx, ny) \cdot P^0_{\omega}(\sigma(Y^{(n)}) < \infty) \le (1+\varepsilon_0)^4 P_0(\sigma(W^*) < \infty) k_t(x, y).$$

But by the QFCLT for the VSRW $Y^{(n)}$ again, $\lim_n P^0_{\omega}(\sigma(Y^{(n)}) < \infty) = P_0(\sigma(W^*) < \infty)$, hence we get the desired upper bound. The lower bound in (1.9) can be proved similarly.

We now let $x, y$ vary over $B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)$. Find a finite set $\{z_1, \dots, z_\ell\}$ such that $B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)$ is covered by the balls $B_{\mathbb{R}}(z_i, \varepsilon_b)$. By the previous argument, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $i, j = 1, \dots, \ell$, $n^d p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(nz_i, nz_j)/k_t(z_i, z_j)$ is bounded above by $(1 + \varepsilon_0)^4$ for all large $n$. Given $x, y \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K)$, choose $z_i, z_j$ so that $x \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(z_i, \varepsilon_b)$, $y \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(z_j, \varepsilon_b)$. Then using (1.8)

$$\frac{n^d p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(nx, ny)}{k_t(x, y)} = \frac{n^d p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(nz_i, nz_j)}{k_t(z_i, z_j)} \cdot \frac{n^d p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(nx, ny)}{n^d p^{\omega}_{n^2t}(nz_i, nz_j)} \cdot \frac{k_t(z_i, z_j)}{k_t(x, y)} < (1+\varepsilon_0)^6$$

for all large $n$. Taking $(1 + \varepsilon_0)^6 < 1 + \varepsilon$ this gives the upper bound in (0.18), and the lower bound can be proved similarly. □

1.2. **Convergences after truncation.** For any given $a > 0$, we introduce the following truncation of $\mu_x$:

$$\widetilde{\mu}_e = \widetilde{\mu}^{(n)}_e = \mu_e \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_e \le an^2\}}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_x = \widetilde{\mu}^{(n)}_x = \sum_{y \sim x} \widetilde{\mu}_{xy}. \tag{1.10}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x \sim \log(an^2), \qquad \mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}^2_x \le Can^2, \tag{1.11}$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $a$ and $n$. Note that $\widetilde{\mu}_x$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_y$ are independent if $|x - y| > 1$.

**Lemma 6.** *Let $K > 0$ and $d \ge 3$.*
*(a) If $f : B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $\mathbb{P}$- a.s.,*

$$\frac{1}{n^d \log n} \sum_{|x| \le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x f(x/n) \to 2 \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}(0,K)} f(x)\, dx. \tag{1.12}$$

*(b) If $g : (B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, K))^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then $\mathbb{P}$- a.s.,*

$$\frac{1}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|,|y| \le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x \widetilde{\mu}_y\, g(x/n, y/n) \to 4 \int_{(B_{\mathbb{R}}(0,K))^2} g(x, y)\, dxdy. \tag{1.13}$$

*Proof.* In both cases we use a straightforward mean-variance calculation.
(a) Write $I_n$ for the LHS of (1.12). Then as $\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x \sim \log(an^2) \sim 2 \log n$,

$$\mathbb{E}I_n = \frac{\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_0}{\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn} f(x/n)n^{-d} \to 2\int_{|x|\le K} f(x)\,dx \quad \text{as } n\to\infty. \tag{1.14}$$

If $|x-y|\le 1$ then $|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_x,\widetilde{\mu}_y)|\le \operatorname{Var}(\widetilde{\mu}_0)$, by Cauchy-Schwartz. So

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(I_n) &\le \frac{c||f||_\infty^2}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\operatorname{Var}(\widetilde{\mu}_0)\\ &\le \frac{C}{n^d(\log n)^2}an^2 \le \frac{C'}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

So, for any $\varepsilon>0$ we deduce

$$\mathbb{P}(|I_n-\mathbb{E}I_n|>\varepsilon)\le \frac{\operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(I_n)}{\varepsilon^2}\le \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2},$$

and so by Borel-Cantelli we have that $|I_n-\mathbb{E}I_n|<\varepsilon$ for all large $n$.

(b) Let $J_n$ be the left hand side of (1.13). Write $B=B(0,Kn)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}J_n' &= \frac{1}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2}\sum_{x,y\in B,|x-y|\le 3}\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y g(x/n,y/n),\\ J_n'' &= \frac{1}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2}\sum_{x,y\in B,|x-y|>3}\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y g(x/n,y/n),\end{aligned}$$

Then since $\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y\le\widetilde{\mu}_x^2+\widetilde{\mu}_y^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}|J_n'|\le \frac{c}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2}\sum_{x\in B}\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x^2\,||g||_\infty \le \frac{c||g||_\infty}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.$$

As this sum converges, by Borel-Cantelli $J_n'\to 0$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

For $J_n''$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}J_n'' = \frac{(\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x)^2}{n^{2d}(\log n)^2}\sum_{x,y\in B,|x-y|>3} g(x/n,y/n)\to 4\int_{|x|,|y|\le K} g(x,y)\,dxdy.$$

Furthermore,

(1.15)

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(J_n'')\le \frac{C}{n^{4d}(\log n)^4}\sum_{x,y\in B,|x-y|>3}\left(\sum_{x',y'\in B,|x'-y'|>3}|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y,\widetilde{\mu}_{x'}\widetilde{\mu}_{y'})|\right).$$

If all of $x,y,x',y'$ are at distance greater than 1 apart in the sum in (1.15), then $\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y,\widetilde{\mu}_{x'}\widetilde{\mu}_{y'})=0$. So, after relabelling, we only have to handle two cases: when $|x-x'|\le 1$ and $|y-y'|\le 1$, and when $|x-x'|\le 1$ and $|y-y'|>1$. Write $K_n'$ and $K_n''$ for these two sums.

Observe that in both cases, since $|x-y|>3$ and $|x'-y'|>3$, we have $|y'-x|>1$ and $|y-x'|>1$.

In the first case,

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y, \widetilde{\mu}_{x'}\widetilde{\mu}_{y'})| \le \mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_{x'} \cdot \mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_y\widetilde{\mu}_{y'} \le cn^4, \tag{1.16}$$

and so

$$K'_n \le \frac{cn^{2d}n^4}{n^{4d}(\log n)^4} \le \frac{c}{n^{2d-4}(\log n)^4}.$$

In the second case,

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y, \widetilde{\mu}_{x'}\widetilde{\mu}_{y'})| \le \mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_{x'} \cdot \mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_y\widetilde{\mu}_{y'} \le cn^2(\log n)^2,$$

and so as the sum in $K''_n$ contains $O(n^{3d})$ terms,

$$K''_n \le \frac{cn^{3d}n^2(\log n)^2}{n^{4d}(\log n)^4} \le \frac{c}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.$$

Hence $\sum_n \operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(J''_n) < \infty$, proving (1.13). □

Finally we state a simple lemma which can be proved by direct computations.

**Lemma 7.** *For any $K>0$,*

*(a)*

$$\sum_{1\le|x|\le Kn} |x|^{2-d} = O(n^2).$$

*(b)*

$$\sum_{1\le|x|\le Kn} |x|^{4-2d} = \begin{cases} O(n) & \text{when } d=3, \\ O(\log n) & \text{when } d=4, \\ O(1) & \text{when } d\ge 5. \end{cases}$$

## 2. Estimates involving Green's Functions

For the usual simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d\ge 3$, the Green's function $g(x,x)$ is a positive constant for all $x$. In our case, the best available lower bound (see Lemma 4(e)) gives that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all large $n$ and for all $|x|\le Kn$, $g^\omega(x,x)\ge C/(\log n)^{(d-2)/\eta}$. As this is not quite strong enough for the truncation arguments in the next section, we now derive some more precise bounds on sums of Green's functions in a ball.

Recall that $E_d$ denotes the set of edges in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and in Lemma 4(g) we defined $b_n = c_{13}(\log n)^{1/\eta}$. For $e=\{x_e,y_e\}\in E_d$, let $B(e,r)=B(x_e,r)\cap B(y_e,r)$. For $e=\{x_e,y_e\}\in E_d$ and $z\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ let

$$\gamma_n(e) = C_{\text{eff}}[\{x_e,y_e\}, B(e,b_n)^c], \tag{2.1}$$

$$\gamma_n(z) = C_{\text{eff}}[z, B(z,b_n+1)^c], \tag{2.2}$$

where $C_{\text{eff}}[A,B]$ denotes the effective conductivity between the sets $A$ and $B$ – see (3.8) in [BC09] or [LPW, Section 9.4]. Note that both $\gamma_n(e)$ and $\gamma_n(x)$ are decreasing in $n$, and $\gamma_\infty(e) := \lim_n \gamma_n(e)$ is the effective conductivity between $e$ and infinity, while $\gamma_\infty(x) := \lim_n \gamma_n(x)$ is equal to $1/g^\omega(x,x)$. By [BC09, Lemma 6.2], for any $k \geq 1$, $\lim_n \mathbb{E}\gamma_n(e)^k < \infty$. Note further that $\mu_e$ and $\gamma_n(e)$ are independent, and also that $\gamma_n(e)$ and $\gamma_n(e')$ are independent if $|e-e'| \geq 2b_n + 1$. When $d \geq 3$, by Lemma 4(e), $g^\omega(x,x) < C < \infty$, and hence

$$\gamma_n(e) \geq \gamma_n(x) \geq \gamma_\infty(x) = 1/g^\omega(x,x) \geq 1/C > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $a_p$ be large enough so that $\mathbb{P}(\mu_e > a_p) < p_c(d)$, where $p_c(d)$ is the critical probability for bond percolation in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $\mathcal{C}(e)$ denote the cluster containing $e$ in the bond percolation process for which $\{e \text{ is open}\} = \{\mu_e > a_p\}$. Then we have (see Theorems 6.75 and 5.4 in [G])

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{C}(e)| > m) \leq \exp(-c_1 m), \qquad \mathbb{P}(\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(e)) > m) \leq \exp(-c_2 m). \tag{2.4}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(e) &= \{\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(e)) \geq \tfrac{1}{2} b_n\}, \\ \gamma'_n(e) &= \gamma_n(e) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{F_n(e)^c}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 8.** *(a) For any $K > 0$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all sufficiently large $n$, $\gamma_n(e) = \gamma'_n(e)$ for all $e \in B(0, 2Kn)$.*
*(b) There exists $\theta > 0$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(\theta) < \infty$ such that for all $n$,*

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\theta\gamma'_n(e)} < \Gamma.$$

*(c) There exists $C = C(d) > 0$ such that for any $K > 0$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all large $n$,*

$$\inf_{|x| \leq Kn} g^\omega(x,x) \geq C/\log n.$$

*Proof.* (a) First note that

$$\mathbb{P}(\cup_{e \in B(0,2Kn)} F_n(e)) \leq cn^d \exp(-c_2 b_n/2) = c\exp(d\log n - c'(\log n)^{1/\eta}). \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\eta < 1$ the RHS in (2.5) is summable, so that, for all but finitely many $n$, $\gamma_n(e) = \gamma'_n(e)$ for all $e \in B(0, 2Kn)$.
(b) On $F_n(e)^c$ the cluster $\mathcal{C}(e)$ is contained in $B(e, b_n)$, and each bond from $\mathcal{C}(e)$ to $\mathcal{C}(e)^c$ has conductivity less than $a_p$. Since there are at most $2d|\mathcal{C}(e)|$ such bonds, we deduce that $\gamma_n(e) \leq da_p|\mathcal{C}(e)|$. So,

$$\mathbb{P}(\gamma'_n(e) > \lambda) \leq \mathbb{P}(da_p|\mathcal{C}(e)| > \lambda) \leq \exp(-c\lambda). \tag{2.6}$$

(c) Using (2.6) it is enough to consider

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{e\in B(0,Kn)} \gamma'_n(e) > \lambda \log n\right) \leq c' n^d e^{-c\lambda \log n},$$

which is summable when $\lambda$ is large enough. □

For any $0 < a < b \leq \infty$, define the sets

$$E_n(a,b) = \{e : an^2 \leq \mu_e < bn^2\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $m_n$ be chosen later with $m_n \geq 3b_n$. We tile $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with cubes of the form $Q = [0, m_n - 1]^d + m_n\mathbb{Z}^d$, so that each cube contains $m_n^d$ vertices. Let $z_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ be the unit vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and given a cube $Q$ in the tiling let

$$E(Q) = \big\{\{x, x+z_i\}, x \in Q, 1 \leq i \leq d\big\};$$

it is clear that $E(Q)$ gives a tiling of $E_d$, and that $|E(Q)| = dm_n^d$ for each $Q$. Let $K > 0$ be fixed, and let $\mathcal{Q}_n$ be the set of $Q$ such that $Q \cap B(0, Kn+1) \neq \emptyset$. We have $|\mathcal{Q}_n| \asymp (Kn/m_n)^d$.

**Lemma 9.** *(See* [BC09, Lemma 6.3]*.) Let $a, K, \delta > 0$ be fixed.*
*(a) Suppose that $Kn/\sqrt{d} \geq m_n \geq n^{\theta_1}$ for some $\theta_1 > 2/d$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all but finitely many $n$,*

$$\max_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_n} \sum_{e\in E(Q)\cap E_n(a,\infty)} \gamma_n(e) \leq \lambda m_n^d (an^2)^{-1} \mathbb{E}\gamma_n(e). \tag{2.8}$$

*(b) Let $\theta_2 < 1/d$. Then $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $B(0, n^{\theta_2}) \cap E_n(a,\infty) = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $n$.*

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 8(a) it is enough to bound the sum (2.8) with $\gamma'_n(e)$ instead of $\gamma_n(e)$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$. We divide $E(Q)$ into disjoint sets $(E(Q,j), j \in J)$ such that if $e$ and $e'$ are distinct edges in $E(Q,j)$ then $|e - e'| \geq 3b_n - 2$, each $|E(Q,j)| = (m_n/3b_n)^d := N_n$, and $|J| \sim d(3b_n)^d$.

Let $\eta_e = \mathbf{1}_{(\mu_e > an^2)}$, $p_n = \mathbb{E}\eta_e \sim 1/(2d) \cdot 1/(an^2)$, and

$$\xi_j = \sum_{e\in E(Q,j)} \gamma'_n(e)\eta_e.$$

Then the r.v. $(\gamma'_n(e), \eta_e, e \in E(Q,j))$ are independent, and so if $\theta$ and $\Gamma$ are as in Lemma 8,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\theta\xi_j} \leq (1 + p_n(\Gamma - 1))^{N_n} \leq e^{N_n p_n(\Gamma-1)}.$$

Hence for any $\lambda > 0$, writing $\mathbb{E}\xi_j = N_n p_n \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\xi_j > \lambda\mathbb{E}\xi_j) &\leq \exp(-\lambda\theta N_n p_n \mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e) + N_n p_n(\Gamma - 1)) \\ &= \exp\left(-N_n p_n\left(\lambda\theta\mathbb{E}\gamma'_n(e) - \Gamma + 1\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.3),

$$\mathbb{E}\gamma_n'(e) \geq 1/C \cdot \mathbb{P}(F_n(e)^c) \to 1/C,$$

hence there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $n$ large, $\lambda\theta\mathbb{E}\gamma_n'(e) - \Gamma + 1 \geq 1$, and so

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_j > \lambda \mathbb{E}\xi_j\right) \leq e^{-N_n p_n}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j\in J} \xi_j > \lambda m_n^d p_n \mathbb{E}\gamma_n'(e)\right) \leq d(3b_n)^d e^{-N_n p_n},$$

and so since $|\mathcal{Q}_n| \leq cn^d$ and $N_n p_n \geq n^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, (2.8) follows by Borel-Cantelli.

(b) We have

$$\mathbb{P}(B(0, n^{\theta_2}) \cap E_n(a, \infty) \neq \emptyset) \leq cn^{d\theta_2}(an^2)^{-1} \leq cn^{d\theta_2 - 2};$$

so again the result follows using Borel-Cantelli. $\square$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

**Lemma 10.** *Let $\omega \in \Omega$. If for each $t \geq 0$,*

$$S_t^{(n)} \to 2t \quad \textit{in } P_\omega^0\textit{-probability}, \tag{3.1}$$

*then* (0.12) *holds.*

*Proof.* Note that the LHS and RHS are both increasing processes, and the RHS is continuous and deterministic. The conclusion then follows from Theorem VI.3.37 in [JS03]. $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, there exist $K > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. $\omega$, for all $t \leq T$, the following two inequalities hold:*

$$\limsup_n P_\omega^0\left(\frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x|\geq Kn} \int_0^{n^2 t} \mu_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s = x\}}\, ds > 0\right) \leq \varepsilon, \tag{3.2}$$

(3.3)

$$\limsup_n P_\omega^0\left(\frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \sum_{|x|\leq Kn} \int_0^{n^2 t} \mu_x \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_x \geq an^2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s = x\}}\, ds > 0\right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Write $F_K$ for the event in (3.2). Then by Lemma 4(d),

$$P_\omega^0(F_K) \leq P_\omega^0(\tau(0, Kn) < n^2 t) \leq c_8 \exp(-c_9 K^2/t),$$

provided that $Kn > U_0$. So, taking $K$ sufficiently large, (3.2) holds for all sufficiently large $n$.

Choose $\theta_1 = (2+\varepsilon_1)/d$, $\theta_2 = (1-\varepsilon_2)/(d-2)$, where $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 2/d$ (so that $\theta_2 < 1/d$) and $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 < 1$. Let $m_n = n^{\theta_1}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_n$ be as in Lemma 9. Let $n$ be large enough so that (2.8) holds, and also that

$$B(0, n^{\theta_2}) \cap E_n(a, \infty) = \emptyset. \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$P^0_\omega(Y \text{ hits } E_n(a,\infty) \cap B(0, Kn)) \le \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \sum_{x \in E_n(a,\infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0,x)}{g^\omega(x,x)}. \tag{3.5}$$

For $x \in E_n(a, \infty)$, if $e_x$ is an edge containing $x$, then by (2.3) $1/g^\omega(x,x) \le \gamma_n(e_x)$. By (3.4) and (1.3) we can bound $g^\omega(0,x)$ by $c|x|^{2-d}$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}'_n$ be the set of $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ such that $|x| \ge m_n/2$ for all $x \in Q$. Let first $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n \backslash \mathcal{Q}'_n$. Then by Lemma 9 and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x \in E_n(a,\infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0,x)}{g^\omega(x,x)} &\le \max_{x \in E_n(a,\infty)\cap Q} c|x|^{2-d} \sum_{x \in E_n(a,\infty) \cap Q} \gamma_n(e_x) \\ &\le C n^{\theta_2(2-d)} \cdot \lambda m_n^d (an^2)^{-1} \le C' n^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - 1}.\end{aligned}$$

So, since there are only $2^d$ cubes in $\mathcal{Q}_n - \mathcal{Q}'_n$,

$$\lim_n \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n - \mathcal{Q}'_n} \sum_{x \in E_n(a,\infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0,x)}{g^\omega(x,x)} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Now let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n$, and let $x_Q$ be the point in $Q$ closest to 0. Then if $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x \in E_n(a,\infty) \cap Q} \frac{g^\omega(0,x)}{g^\omega(x,x)} &\le c \sum_{x \in E_n(a,\infty) \cap Q} |x|^{2-d} \gamma_n(e_x) \\ &\le c|x_Q|^{2-d} \cdot \lambda m_n^d (an^2)^{-1} \le c' \lambda a^{-1} n^{-2} \sum_{x \in Q} |x|^{2-d}. \qquad (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

So, summing over $Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}P^0_\omega(Y \text{ hits } E_n(a,\infty) \cap (\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}'_n} Q)) &\le c\lambda a^{-1} n^{-2} \sum_{x \in B(0,(K+1)n)} (1 \vee |x|)^{2-d} \\ &\le c' \lambda (K+1)^2\, a^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and so taking $a$ large enough and noting (3.6), (3.3) follows. □

By Lemma 11 to prove (0.12) it suffices to consider the convergence of

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t &= \frac{1}{n^2\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_0^{n^2t}\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s=x\}}\ ds\\ &= \frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_0^{t}\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{n^2s}=x\}}\ ds,\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where $\widetilde{\mu}_x$ is as in (1.10). Taking expectations with respect to $P^0_\omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}E^0_\omega\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t &= \frac{1}{n^2\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_0^{n^2t}p^\omega_s(0,x)\ ds\\ &= \frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_0^{t}p^\omega_{n^2r}(0,x)\ dr.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

**Lemma 12.** *For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for all sufficiently large $n$,*

$$E^0_\omega\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta\le\varepsilon.\tag{3.10}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4(g), we can assume $n$ is large enough so that $\{\max_{|x|\le Kn}U_x\le b_n\}$. Hence, by Lemma 4(b), if $|x|\vee\sqrt{t}\ge b_n$, then

$$p^\omega_t(0,x)\le\begin{cases}c_4t^{-d/2}\exp(-c_5|x|^2/t) & \text{when } t\ge|x|,\\ c_4\exp(-c_5|x|) & \text{when } t\le|x|.\end{cases}$$

Hence, by decomposing according to whether $|x|<b_n$ or $|x|\ge b_n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}E^0_\omega\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta &= \frac{1}{n^2\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_0^{n^2\delta}p^\omega_s(0,x)\ ds\\ &\le \frac{1}{n^2\log n}\sum_{|x|\le b_n}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_0^{n^2\delta}c(1\vee s)^{-d/2}\,ds \qquad (3.11)\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{n^2\log n}\sum_{b_n\le|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\int_0^{|x|}c_4e^{-c_5|x|}\ ds \qquad (3.12)\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{n^2\log n}\sum_{b_n\le|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x\cdot\int_{|x|}^{n^2\delta}c_4s^{-d/2}e^{-c_5|x|^2/s}\ ds \qquad (3.13)\end{aligned}$$

Write $\xi_n^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ for the terms in (3.11)–(3.13). Since the integral in (3.11) is bounded by $\int_0^\infty c(1 \vee s)^{-d/2}\, ds < \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_n^{(1)} \le c\frac{b_n^d}{n^2 \log n}\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_x \le cn^{-2}(\log n)^{d/\eta}.$$

Similarly for (3.12) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\xi^{(2)} \le cn^{-2} \sum_{|x|\le Kn} c_4|x|e^{-c_5|x|} \le c'n^{-2}.$$

As these sums converge it follows from Borel-Cantelli that $\xi_n^{(i)} \le \varepsilon/3$ for all large $n$, for $i = 1, 2$.

It remains to control (3.13). First note that when $s \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} s^{-d/2}e^{-\kappa|x|^2/s} \le C(\kappa). \tag{3.14}$$

So, interchanging the order of the sum and integral in (3.13),

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_n^{(3)} \le \frac{C}{n^2 \log n}\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_0 \cdot n^2\delta \le C'\delta.$$

Setting $t = s/|x|^2$ we have

$$\int_{|x|}^{n^2\delta} c_4 s^{-d/2}e^{-c_5|x|^2/s}\, ds \le C|x|^{2-d}\int_0^\infty t^{-d/2}e^{-c_5/t}dt \le C|x|^{2-d}. \tag{3.15}$$

Hence, applying Lemma 7 we get

$$\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\xi_n^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{C}{n^4(\log n)^2}\cdot \sum_{b_n\le|x|\le Kn} an^2|x|^{4-2d} \le \frac{C}{n(\log n)^2}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and Borel-Cantelli we then get that for $\delta$ small enough, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for all sufficiently large $n$, $\xi_n^{(3)} \le \varepsilon/3$. □

**Proposition 13.** *Let*

$$A_1(K, t, \delta) = \int_{|y|\le K}\int_\delta^t k_s(x)\, dx\, ds. \tag{3.16}$$

*When $d \ge 3$, for any $K > 0$, $0 < \delta < T < \infty$, and $t \in (\delta, T]$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E_\omega^0\left(\widetilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \widetilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}\right) = 2A_1(K, t, \delta). \tag{3.17}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6(a), it suffices to show that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{|x|\le Kn}\widetilde{\mu}_x \cdot \int_\delta^t \left(p^\omega_{n^2 s}(0, x) - n^{-d}k_s(x/n)\right)\, ds \to 0.$$

The LHS is bounded in absolute value by

$$\frac{1}{n^d \log n} \sum_{|x| \le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x \cdot T \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{s \ge \delta} |n^d p^\omega_{n^2 s}(0,x) - k_s(x/n)|.$$

This converges to 0 $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. by Lemma 6(a) and Lemma 4(h). ☐

**Proposition 14.** *When $d \ge 3$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $K > 0$, $0 < \delta < T < \infty$, and $t \in (\delta, T]$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_n E^0_\omega \left( \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t - \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta \right)^2 \\ \le&\varepsilon + 8(1+\varepsilon) \int_{|x|,|y| \le K} \int_\delta^t k_s(x) \int_0^{t-s} k_r(x,y)\ dr\, ds\, dx\, dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

*Proof.* Using the Markov property and the symmetry of $Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E^0_\omega (S^{(n)}_t - S^{(n)}_\delta)^2 \\ =&\frac{2}{(\log n)^2} \left( \sum_{|x|,|y| \le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x \widetilde{\mu}_y \cdot \int_\delta^t p^\omega_{n^2 s}(0,x) \int_0^{t-s} p^\omega_{n^2 r}(x,y)\ dr\, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

We begin by proving that, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all large $n$,

$$\frac{2}{(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|,|y| \le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x \widetilde{\mu}_y \cdot \int_\delta^t p^\omega_{n^2 s}(0,x) \int_0^{\delta_1} p^\omega_{n^2 r}(x,y)\ dr\ ds \le \varepsilon. \tag{3.19}$$

By Lemma 4(a) we have $p^\omega_{n^2 s}(0,x) \le cn^{-d}$ for all $s \ge \delta$ and so the LHS of (3.19) is bounded by

$$\frac{C}{n^d (\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|,|y| \le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x \widetilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{\delta_1} p^\omega_{n^2 r}(x,y)\ dr \tag{3.20}$$

$$= \frac{C}{n^{d+2} (\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|,|y| \le Kn,\ |x-y| > 1} \widetilde{\mu}_x \widetilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^2 \delta_1} p^\omega_r(x,y)\, dr \tag{3.21}$$

$$+ \frac{C}{n^{d+2} (\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|,|y| \le Kn,\ |x-y| \le 1} \widetilde{\mu}_x \widetilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^2 \delta_1} p^\omega_r(x,y)\, dr. \tag{3.22}$$

Write $A_n$ and $B_n$ for the terms in (3.21) and (3.22).

The first term can be handled in the same way as in Lemma 12. Let $B = B(0, Kn)$, and write $A_n = A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(2)} + A_n^{(3)}$, where

$$A_n^{(1)} = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x,y\in B,\, 1<|x-y|\le b_n} \widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^2\delta_1} p_r^\omega(x,y)\,dr, \tag{3.23}$$

$$A_n^{(2)} = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x,y\in B,\, |x-y|\ge b_n} \widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{|x-y|} p_r^\omega(x,y)\,dr, \tag{3.24}$$

$$A_n^{(3)} = \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x,y\in B,\, |x-y|\ge b_n} \widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y \int_{|x-y|}^{n^2\delta_1} p_r^\omega(x,y)\,dr. \tag{3.25}$$

For (3.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}A_n^{(1)} &\le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x,y\in B,\ 1<|x-y|<b_n} \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y) \int_0^\infty c_4(1\vee s)^{-d/2}ds \\ &\le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}}K^d n^d b_n^d \le c\frac{(\log n)^{d/\eta}}{n^2},\end{aligned}$$

and since this sum converges we have $A_n^{(1)} \le \varepsilon/4$ for all large $n$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. The term $\mathbb{E}A_n^{(2)}$ is bounded in the same way as was the term $\xi_n^{(2)}$ in Lemma 12.

For (3.25),

$$A_n^{(3)} \le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x,y\in B,\ |x-y|>b_n} \widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y \int_{|x-y|}^{n^2\delta_1} c_4 s^{-d/2}\exp(-c_5|x-y|^2/s)\,ds. \tag{3.26}$$

Using (3.14) we have

$$\mathbb{E}A_n^{(3)} \le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2}\cdot n^d(\mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mu}_0)^2\cdot n^2\delta_1 = O(\delta_1).$$

We now bound $\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(A_n^{(3)})$. By (3.15), the integral in (3.26) is bounded by $c|x-y|^{2-d}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(A_n^{(3)}) \le \frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} &\sum_{x_1,y_1\in B,\ |x_1-y_1|>b_n} \\ \sum_{x_2,y_2\in B,\ |x_2-y_2|>b_n} &|x_1-y_1|^{2-d}|x_2-y_2|^{2-d}\cdot|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_{x_1}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_2}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_2})|.\end{aligned}$$

We now bound this sum in the same way as was done for the variance in Lemma 6(b). Let

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2) \in B^4 : |x_i - y_i| > b_n, i = 1,2, |x_1 - x_2| \le 1, |y_1 - y_2| \le 1\},$$
$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2) \in B^4 : |x_i - y_i| > b_n, i = 1,2, |x_1 - x_2| \le 1, |y_1 - y_2| > 1\}.$$

Note that if $|x_1 - x_2| \le 1$, then since $|x_i - y_i| > b_n$, none of the $y_i$ can be within distance 1 of $x_j$. If $(x_1, \dots y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ then $|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_{x_1}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_2}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \le cn^4$, while if $(x_1, \dots y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ then $|\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_{x_1}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_2}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \le c(\log n)^2 n^2$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} &\sum_{(x_1,\dots y_2)\in\mathcal{C}_1} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d}|x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} \cdot |\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_{x_1}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_2}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \\
&\le \frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \sum_{x_1,y_1 \in B} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_1|)^{4-2d} cn^4 \\
&\le \frac{C}{n^{2d}(\log n)^4}\, n^d \max_{x_1 \in B} \sum_{y_1 \in B(x,2Kn)} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_1|)^{4-2d} \\
&\le \frac{Cn}{n^d(\log n)^4},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used Lemma 7(b).

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \sum_{(x_1,\dots y_2)\in\mathcal{C}_2} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d}|x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} |\operatorname{Cov}(\widetilde{\mu}_{x_1}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_1}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_2}\widetilde{\mu}_{y_2})| \\
&\le \frac{C}{n^{2d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{(x_1,\dots y_2)\in\mathcal{C}_2} |x_1 - y_1|^{2-d}|x_2 - y_2|^{2-d} \\
&\le \frac{C}{n^{2d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{x_1 \in B} \sum_{y_1,y_2 \in B(x,2Kn)} (1 \vee |x_1 - y_1|)^{2-d}(1 \vee |x_1 - y_2|)^{2-d} \\
&\le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \left( \sum_{y_1 \in B(0,2Kn)} (1 \vee |y_1|)^{2-d} \right)^2 \\
&\le \frac{Cn^4}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} = \frac{C}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_n \operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(A_n^{(3)}) < \infty$, and so if $\delta_1$ is small enough then by Chebyshev's inequality and Borel-Cantelli, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for all sufficiently large $n$, $A_n^{(3)} \le \varepsilon/4$.

To finish the proof of (3.19), it remains to bound the term (3.22). By Lemma 4(a), $\int_0^{n^2\delta_1} p_r^\omega(x,y)\,dr \le C$. Therefore by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|\le Kn,\ |y-x|\le 1} \widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y \int_0^{n^2\delta_1} p^\omega_{n^2r}(x,y)\ dr \\ &\le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|\le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}B_n \le \frac{C}{n^{d+2}(\log n)^2} \cdot n^d \cdot n^2 \to 0,$$

and since $\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(\widetilde{\mu}_x^2) \le cn^6$,

$$\mathrm{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}(B_n) \le \frac{C}{n^{2d+4}(\log n)^4} \cdot n^d \cdot n^6 \le \frac{C}{n^{d-2}(\log n)^4}.$$

Since this bound is summable, (3.19) follows.

It remains to show that for any $\delta_1 > 0$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \frac{2}{(\log n)^2} \sum_{|x|,|y|\le Kn} \widetilde{\mu}_x\widetilde{\mu}_y \cdot \int_\delta^t p^\omega_{n^2s}(0,x) \int_{\delta_1}^{t-s} p^\omega_{n^2r}(x,y)\,dr\,ds \\ \le 8(1+\varepsilon)\int_{|x|,|y|\le K} \left( \int_\delta^t k_s(0,x) \int_0^{t-s} k_r(x,y)\,dr\,ds\right) dx\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

This follows easily from Theorem 3 and Lemma 6. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Lemma 10, it suffices to show that for any $t > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < t/2$, for $\mathbb{P}$-a.a. $\omega$,

$$\lim_n P^0_\omega(|S_t^{(n)} - 2t| \ge \epsilon) \le \epsilon. \tag{3.27}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} S_t^{(n)} - 2t = (S_t^{(n)} - \widetilde{S}_t^{(n)}) + \widetilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} + \left(\widetilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \widetilde{S}_\delta^{(n)} - E^0_\omega\left(\widetilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \widetilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}\right)\right) \\ + \left(E^0_\omega\left(\widetilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \widetilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}\right) - 2A_1(K,t,\delta)\right) + (2A_1(K,t,\delta) - 2t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

By Proposition 13, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $\left(E^0_\omega\left(\widetilde{S}_t^{(n)} - \widetilde{S}_\delta^{(n)}\right) - 2A_1(K,t,\delta)\right) \to 0$. Let $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/16$, to be chosen later. Choose $K$ large enough so that the LHS in (3.2) is bounded by $\varepsilon_0$, and also

$$\sup_{0<\delta\le t} |A_1(K,t,\delta) - (t-\delta)| \le \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/16, \tag{3.29}$$

Now choose $a > 0$ large enough so that the LHS in (3.3) is also bounded by $\varepsilon_0$. Hence, for all large $n$,

$$P^0_\omega(|S^{(n)}_t - \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t| > 0) \leq 2\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/4.$$

Next choose $0 < \delta < t/2$ so that, by Lemma 12, for all sufficiently large $n$, $E^0_\omega \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta < \varepsilon^2/16$, and hence $P^0_\omega(\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta > \varepsilon/4) \leq \varepsilon/4$. Furthermore, by Propositions 13 and 14 and (3.29),

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_n \operatorname{Var}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t - \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta\right) &\leq \varepsilon_0 + 8(1+\varepsilon_0)\cdot(t-\delta)^2/2 - (2(t-\delta-\varepsilon_0))^2\\ &\leq \varepsilon_0(1+4t^2+4t),\end{aligned}$$

hence by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\limsup_n P^0_\omega(|\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t - \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta - E^0_\omega(\widetilde{S}^{(n)}_t - \widetilde{S}^{(n)}_\delta)| \geq \varepsilon/4) \leq 16(1+4t^2+4t)\cdot\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon^2.$$

Taking $\varepsilon_0$ so small that $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/16$ and $16(1+4t^2+4t)\cdot\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon^2 \leq \varepsilon/4$ we obtain (3.27). □

## REFERENCES

[BD09] M.T. Barlow and J.-D. Deuschel. Invariance principle for the random conductance model with unbounded conductances. *Ann. Probab.*, To appear.

[BH09] M. T. Barlow and B. M. Hambly. Parabolic Harnack inequality and local limit theorem for percolation clusters. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:no. 1, 1–27, 2009.

[BC09] M.T. Barlow and Jiří Černý. Convergence to fractional kinetics for random walks associated with unbounded conductances. *Preprint*, 2009.

[BC07] Gérard Ben Arous and Jiří Černý. Scaling limit for trap models on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. *Ann. Probab.*, 35(6):2356–2384, 2007.

[BC08] Gérard Ben Arous and Jiří Černý. The arcsine law as a universal aging scheme for trap models. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61(3):289–329, 2008.

[BCM06] Gérard Ben Arous, Jiří Černý, and Thomas Mountford. Aging in two-dimensional Bouchaud's model. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 134(1):1–43, 2006.

[BP07] Marek Biskup and Timothy M. Prescott. Functional CLT for random walk among bounded random conductances. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 12:no. 49, 1323–1348 (electronic), 2007.

[G] G.R. Grimmett. *Percolation.* (2nd edition). Springer 1999.

[JS03] Jean Jacod and Albert N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, volume 288 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003.

[LPW] D.A. Levin, Y. Peres, E.L. Wilmer. *Markov Chains and Mixing Times.* Amer. Math. Soc. 2009.

[Mat08] P. Mathieu. Quenched invariance principles for random walks with random conductances. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 130(5):1025–1046, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia,, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada.
*E-mail address*: `barlow@math.ubc.ca`

Department of ISOM, Hong Kong University of Science, and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
*E-mail address*: `xhzheng@ust.hk`